1 4 2007

		A	For use though 00/20/2023	TO/SB/21 (04-07)
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no c	U.S ersons are required to reapond to a co	Appro- Patent and Tradema Difection of information	ved for use through 09/30/2007 ark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT on unless it displays a valid OM	. OMB 0851-0031 OF COMMERCE B control number.
(Application Number	09/902,026		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	14 August, 2007		RECEIVE
FORM	First Named Inventor	Abu-Hakima, Suh	neyya, Dr. et at.	CENTRAL FAX C
	Art Unit	2179	,	
(to be used for all correspondence after Initial filing)	Examiner Name	Sara M. Hanne	·	AUG 1 4 2
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 14	Attorney Docket Number			
	NCLOSURES (Check all	i that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)		After Allowance Commu	inication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication of Appeals and Interference	
Amendment/Reply	Petition		Appeal Communication (Appeal Notice, Brief, Rep	
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation	,	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Change of Correspondence A	Address	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (plea below):	ese Identify
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund			
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)		•	
	Landscape Table on CD	,		
I Decuments	emarks			
Reply to Missing Parts/	ponse to Notice of Non-Complian	t Amendment mai	iled 19 July, 2007	ļ
Incomplete Application				
Reply to Missing Paris under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
	•			
SIGNATUR	E OF APPLICANT, ATTOI	RNEY. OR AC	SENT	·
Firm Name Cassan Maclean		,		
Signature & Canno	· ·			·
Printed name Lynn S. Cassan	-	-		
Date 14 August, 2007	R	Reg. No. 32,37	8	
CERTI	FICATE OF TRANSMISSI	ON/MAILING		273-8300
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being for sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope	acsimile transmitted to the USPTO	Outr-deposited wit	h the United States Postal-	Sanica with
the date shown below:	, courte. Commissioner lui		A 1730, Michallulla, VA 223	13-7430 0/1
Stan				
Туреd or printed name Lynn S. Cassan			Date 14 August, 2007	J

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

AUG 1 4 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

ABU-HAKIMA, Suhayya, Dr. et al.

Serial No: -

09/902,026

Filed:

10 July, 2001

Examiner:

Sara M. Hanne

Supervisory Examiner:

Weilun Lo

Group Art Unit:

2179

Title:

CONCEPT-BASED MESSAGE/DOCUMENT VIEWER FOR ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS AND INTERNET

SEARCHING

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO: "NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT" MAILED 19 JULY, 2007

Dear Sir:

Applicant hereby responds to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed 19 July, 2007, signed by the Supervisory Examiner Weilun Lo.

By said Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment, your Office objected to the response/amendment filed on 16 April, 2007 as being allegedly non-compliant because claim 1 listed in the amendment/response filed on 23 August, 2006 is alleged to be inconsistent with the claim listed in the amendment/response filed on 16 April, 2007. As we have been unable to identify any such inconsistencies from our file copies of those responses/amendments, we reviewed the Image File Wrapper copy of the amendment/response filed on 23 August, 2006, as posted on the USPTO web portal, in an attempt to identify such alleged inconsistencies. From this review, it has become apparent to us that the Office's fax copy of applicant's amendment/response filed on 23 August, 2006 is missing some of the "strike-out" lines of claim 1 in the Listing of Claims, apparently due to failings in the fax transmission and/or printing of

the transmitted document at your Office's receiving fax terminal. To show the errors we have identified on that copy shown on the web portal, based on a comparison of same with our true copy of the amendment/response as fax filed on 23 August, 2006, we are enclosing a true and exact copy of the complete paper, "RESPONSE AFTER FINAL WITH RCE", filed on 23 August, 2006, with Listing of Claims starting on page 2 thereof, on which the undersigned has highlighted, by hand, by means of thicker over-lining, all of the amendments shown by claim 1 of said Listing of Claims filed on 23 August, 2006.

As will be seen from the enclosed highlighted copy of the amendment/response filed on 23 August, 2006, contrary to the Examiner's allegation in the Notice of Non-Compliance that the "claims as amended [on 23 August, 2006] name step (b) twice, once in line 6 and then again on line 12", the alleged second named step (b), at line 12 of claim 1, is struck out in claim 1 filed on 23 August, 2006.

As will also be seen from the enclosed highlighted copy of applicant's response/amendment filed on 23 August, 2006, contrary to the Examiner's allegation in the Notice of Non-Compliance, the end of step (e) of the response/amendment filed 23 August, 2006, does not recite "includes said learned dynamic information of; and,"; but instead, recites "includes said learned dynamic information; and,".

Similarly, as will also be seen from the enclosed highlighted copy of applicant's response/amendment filed on 23 August, 2006, contrary to the Examiner's allegation in the Notice of Non-Compliance, the amended step (b) of the response/amendment filed 23 August, 2006, starting at line 6 of claim 1, does not recite "(b) a user information"; but instead, new amended step (b), which is fully underlined to show that it is added, recites "(b) a user preferences knowledge base comprising preferences information personal to said user;".

For the reasons stated in the response/amendment filed on 16 April, 2007, and the foregoing confirmation, and true copy of, applicant's response/amendment filed on

23 August, 2006, applicant respectfully submits that the allegations of the Notice of Non-Compliance are incorrect and should be withdrawn. It appears that your Office's received copy of said amendment filed on 23 August, 2006 was in error; in particular, it appears that the copy received by your Office did not legibly print some of the strike-out lines in the listed claim 1.

Also for the reasons set out in the response/amendment filed on 16 April, 2007, applicant submits that the present claims, as amended on 16 April, 2007, are in good form for allowance and the same is requested.

Respectfully Submitted, Abu-Hakima, Suhayya, Dr. et al.

By:

Lynn S. Cassan

Registration No. 32,378 Attorney for applicant

Phone: 613-238-6404 x.232

Date: 14 August, 2007